

Number	Support	Object	Comments	Response
1		x	Understand 11 flats being constructed only parking provision is on-street. Need to have parking in their own road. Other streets are already full to capacity, extra pressure on parking caused by the new occupants will make daily life next to impossible.	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. Planning consent was granted based on car free development.
2		x	Feels this proposal is insulting and does not show appreciation to the wider issues. Where will the new residents park?	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. All members of the public are permitted to park on the highway where restrictions do not forbid them from doing so.
3		x	Already a parking problem this will make it worse. Likely to be an increased demand caused by inadequate parking facilities for the new residents. Have had issues already whilst the road is closed due to the development.	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. Planning consent was granted based on car free development.
4		x	That small road is perfect for parking for the new Apartments. Already have parking problems due to Norland Nannies, commuters and shoppers.	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway.
5		x	Understand no provision for the new flats. Will force others to park in their road - impractical and naïve to think the increased parking pressure will be absorbed by nearby streets.	Noted. Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. Planning consent was granted based on car free development. All members of the public are permitted to park on the highway where restrictions do not forbid them from doing so.
6		x	Not appear to be adequate parking for new development. Cannot understand the logic of DYL on a road that goes nowhere. It would be ideal parking for the residents.	The DYLs are required to ensure that access and servicing can be undertaken. Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway.
7		x	Restriction will put unnecessary strain on the parking in adjacent roads.	The DYLs are required to ensure that access and servicing can be undertaken. Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway.
8		x	Parking has already become impossible in surrounding roads. Having given permission to build 11 flats it is lunacy to take parking out of Piccadilly Place which is a none road.	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. All members of the public are permitted to park on the highway where restrictions do not forbid them from doing so.
9		x	As the road is a non-through road feel it would be better to provide additional parking to residents.	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. All members of the public are permitted to park on the highway where restrictions do not forbid them from doing so.
10		x	I am regularly unable to park in my own street and think this will only make things worse.	Scheme cannot allow parking on street due to the need for access of service vehicles and the restricted width of the carriageway. All members of the public are permitted to park on the highway where restrictions do not forbid them from doing so.